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## Discussion

- List evaluation methods for classification.
- How much is the information gain for the "attribute" Person? How would it perform on the test set?
- How do we compute entropy for a target variable that has three values? Lenses $=$ \{hard=4, soft=5, none=13\}
- How would you compute the information gain for a numeric attribute?
- What would be the classification accuracy of our decision tree if we pruned it at the node Astigmatic?
- Compare the naïve Bayes classifier and decision trees regarding
- the handling of missing values
- numeric attributes
- interpretability of the model


## List of evaluation methods

- Separate train and test set
- K-fold cross validation
- Leave one out
- used with very small datasets (few 10 examples)
- For each example e:
- use $e$ as test example and the rest for training
- Count the correctly classified examples
- Optimistic estimate: test on training set
- Random sampling
(- Cross-validation Number of folds: 10 는
C Leave-one-out
Random sampling
Repeat train/test: 10 考
Relative training set size:
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## Information gain of the "attribute" Person



On training set

- As many values as there are examples
- Each leaf has exactly one example
- $E(1 / 1,0 / 1)=0$ (entropy of each leaf is zero)
- The weighted sum of entropies is zero
- The information gain is maximum (as much as the entropy of the entire training set)
On testing set
- The values from the testing set do not appear in the tree
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## Entropy $\{$ hard $=4$, soft=5, none $=13\}=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathrm{E}(4 / 22,5 / 22,13 / 22) \\
& =-\Sigma \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} * \log _{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
& =-4 / 22 * \log _{2} 4 / 22-5 / 22 * \log _{2} 5 / 22-13 / 22 * \log _{2} 13 / 22 \\
& =1.38
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Decision tree



## These two trees are equivalent



## Classification accuracy of the pruned tree

| Person | Age | Prescription | Astigmatic | Tear rate | Lenses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F3 | young | hypermetrope | no | normal | YES |
| F9 | pre-presbyopic | myope | no | normal | YES |
| P12 | pre-presbyopic | hypermetrope | no | reduced | NO |
| P13 | pre-presbyopic | myope | yes | nomal | YES |
| P15 | pre-presbyopic | hypermetrope | yes | nomal | NO |
| P16 | pre-presbyopic | hypermetrope | yes | reduced | NO |
| P23 | presbyopic | hypermetrope | yes | normal | NO |

$$
\mathrm{Ca}=(3+2) /(3+2+2+0)=71 \%
$$



YES

|  | Predicted <br> positive | Predicted <br> negative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual <br> positive | $\mathrm{TP}=3$ | $\mathrm{FN}=0$ |
| Actual <br> negative | $\mathrm{FP}=2$ | $\mathrm{TN}=2$ |

## Discussion

- List evaluation methods for classification.
- How much is the information gain for the "attribute" Person? How would it perform on the test set?
- How do we compute entropy for a target variable that has three values? Lenses $=\{$ hard=4, soft=5, none=13\}
- How would you compute the information gain for a numeric attribute?
- What would be the classification accuracy of our decision tree if we pruned it at the node Astigmatic?
- Compare the naïve Bayes classifier and decision trees regarding
- the handling of missing values
- numeric attributes
- interpretability of the model


## Information gain of a numeric attribute

|  | Age | Lenses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 67 | YES |
|  | 62 | YES |
|  | 63 | NO |
|  | 26 | YES |
|  | 65 | NO |
|  | 23 | YES |
|  | 65 | NO |
|  | 25 | YES |
|  | 26 | YES |
|  | 57 | NO |
|  | 49 | NO |
|  | 23 | YES |
|  | 39 | NO |
|  | 55 | NO |
|  | 53 | NO |
|  | 38 | NO |
|  | 67 | YES |
|  | 54 | NO |
|  | 29 | YES |
|  | 46 | NO |
|  | 44 | YES |
|  | 32 | NO |
| ITMN | 39 | NO |
| \% | 45 | YES |

## Information gain of a numeric attribute



## Information gain of a numeric attribute



## Information gain of a numeric attribute

|  | Age | Lenses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 23 | YES |  |
|  | 23 | YES |  |
|  | 25 | YES |  |
|  | 26 | YES |  |
|  | 26 | YES |  |
|  | 29 | YES | 30.5 |
|  | 32 | NO | 30.5 |
|  | 38 | NO |  |
|  | 39 | NO |  |
|  | 39 | NO | 41.5 |
|  | 44 | YES |  |
|  | 45 | YES | 45.5 |
|  | 46 | NO |  |
|  | 49 | NO | 50.5 |
|  | 52 | YES | 525 |
|  | 63 | NO | 52.5 |
|  | 54 | NO |  |
|  | 55 | NO |  |
|  | 57 | NO |  |
|  | 63 | NO |  |
|  | 65 | NO |  |
|  | 65 | NO | 66 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { pegn } \\ \text { TECN } \end{gathered}$ | 67 | YES |  |
|  | 67 | YES |  |

## Information gain of a numeric attribute

|  | Age | Lenses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 23 | YES |  |
|  | 23 | YES |  |
|  | 25 | YES |  |
|  | 26 | YES |  |
|  | 26 | YES |  |
|  | 29 | YES |  |
|  | 32 | NO | 5 |
|  | 38 | NO |  |
|  | 39 | NO |  |
|  | 39 | NO | 41.5 |
|  | 44 | YES |  |
|  | 45 | YES | 45.5 |
|  | 46 | NO |  |
|  | 49 | NO | 50.5 |
|  | 52 | YES | 525 |
|  | 53 | NO | 52.5 |
|  | 54 | NO |  |
|  | 55 | NO |  |
|  | 57 | NO |  |
|  | 63 | NO |  |
|  | 65 | NO |  |
|  | 65 | NO | 66 |
|  | 67 | YES |  |
|  | 67 | YES |  |


$E(6 / 6,0 / 6)=0 \quad E(5 / 18,13 / 18)=0.85$

## Information gain of a numeric attribute




InfoGain (S, Age $_{30.5}$ )=
$=E(S)-\sum p_{v} E(p v)$
$=0.99-\left(6 / 24^{*} 0+18 / 24^{*} 0.85\right)$
$=0.35$

## Information gain of a numeric attribute

|  | Age | Lenses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 23 | YES |  |
|  | 23 | YES |  |
|  | 25 | YES |  |
|  | 26 | YES |  |
|  | 26 | YES |  |
|  | 29 | YES |  |
|  | 32 | NO | 5 |
|  | 36 | NO |  |
|  | 39 | NO |  |
|  | 39 | NO | 41.5 |
|  | 44 | YES |  |
|  | 45 | YES | 45.5 |
|  | 46 | NO |  |
|  | 49 | NO | 50.5 |
|  | 52 | YES | 525 |
|  | 53 | NO | 52.5 |
|  | 54 | NO |  |
|  | 55 | NO |  |
|  | 57 | NO |  |
|  | 63 | NO |  |
|  | 65 | NO |  |
|  | 65 | NO | 66 |
| Tichiv | 67 | YES |  |
|  | 67 | YES |  |

Age
InfoGain $\left(\mathrm{S}\right.$, Age $\left._{30.5}\right)=0.35$
$<41.5 \mathrm{Age}_{>=41.5}<45.5 \mathrm{Age}_{>=45.5}$
$<50.5 \mathrm{Age}_{>=50.5}<52.5 \mathrm{Age}_{>=52.5}$

$$
<66 \lambda_{>=66}
$$
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## Handling missing values: Naïve Bayes

Will the spider catch these two ants?

- Color $=$ white, Time $=$ night $\leftarrow$ missing value Size
- Color $=$ black, Size $=$ large, Time $=$ day

$$
\begin{array}{r}
p\left(c_{1} \mid v_{1}, v_{2}\right)= \\
p(\text { Caught }=Y E S) * \frac{p(\text { Caught }=Y E S \mid \text { Color }=\text { white })}{p(\text { Caught }=Y E S)} * \frac{p(\text { Caught }=Y E S \mid \text { Time }=\text { night })}{p(\text { Caught }=Y E S)}= \\
\frac{1}{2} * \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} * \frac{\frac{1}{4}}{\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{1}{4}
\end{array}
$$

Naïve Bayes uses all the available information!

## Handling missing values: Decision trees - 1

| Age | Prescription | Astigmatic | Tear_Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $?$ | hypermetrope | no | normal |
| pre-presbyopic | myope | $?$ | normal |



## Handling missing values: Decision trees - 2

Algorithm ID3: does not handle missing values Algorithm C4.5 (J48) deals with two problems:

- Missing values in train data:
- Missing values are not used in gain and entropy calculations
- Missing values in test data:
- A missing continuous value is replaced with the median of the training set
- A missing categorical values is replace,
with the most frequent value
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## Continuous attributes:

 decision trees \& naïve bayes- Decision trees ID3 algorithm: does not handle continuous attributes $\rightarrow$ data need to be discretized
- Decision trees C4.5 (J48 in Weka) algorithm: deals with continuous attributes as shown earlier
- Naïve Bayes: does not handle continuous attributes $\rightarrow$
data need to be discretized
(some implementations do handle)


## Discussion

- List evaluation methods for classification.
- How much is the information gain for the "attribute" Person? How would it perform on the test set?
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## Interpretability of decision tree and naïve bayes models

- Decision trees are easy to understand and interpret (if they are of a reasonable size)
- Naïve bayes models are of the "black box type". Naïve bayes models have been visualized by nomograms.


